

27.01.2025.

Recalled & reaffirmed.

PW-7 ABDUL QUDOOS, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FORENSIC
(On Oath)

XXXXX by Ms. Nabila Irshad, learned counsel for Syed Abrar Hussain accused.

A person can create facebook account of the name of another person. From Samsung mobile only one facebook account was found. I do not know when I received Samsung mobile whether its password was on or off. If password is not submitted to me I cannot open the facebook. A person having knowledge of password can delete data from facebook ID. As per prosecution case, password and ID was surrendered by the accused. Accused himself or through anyone can change password ID of facebook and can delete the alleged blasphemous data, if so, advised. Till my finalization of report, alleged blasphemous material was not deleted. Similarly, neither ID of facebook nor password of facebook account was changed till finalization of the report. It is incorrect to suggest that no mobile phone, password or facebook ID was surrendered by accused and due to such reason, the same is still in the device. It is incorrect to suggest that mobile phone has been planted upon the accused after creating fake ID with password by us. It is incorrect to suggest that I have deposed falsely.

XXXXX (reserved, to the extent of remaining accused).



RO&AC
27.01.2025

(Muhammad Afzal Majoka)
Additional Sessions Judge/
Presiding Officer,
Prevention of Electronic Crimes Court,
Islamabad-West

27.02.2025.

Recalled & reaffirmed

PW-8

MUDASSAR SHAH, DEPUTY DIRECTOR FIA (On Oath)

XXXXX by Ms. Nabila Irshad, learned counsel for Syed Abrar Hussain accused.

From Facebook ID it cannot be ascertained as to in what device it was logged in and where and who was its user. No request was made by me to Facebook platform to apprise about four Facebook IDs in this case. I did not obtain any technical assistance from any quarter to trace out the user of these four Facebook IDs. Except source no other technical assistance was provided to me to trace out the four Facebook users. Samsung mobile was recovered from Syed Abrar Hussain accused. Two SIMs were in the mobile. One SIM was in the name of accused. I cannot tell as to in whose name the second SIM was. Accused was brought to our office at maghrib time. I handed over mobile phone to Anees-ur-Rehman at maghrib time. He returned mobile phone to me on the same day. I handed over mobile phone for forensic on 10.03.2023. Mobile phone remained with me for two days. Volunteered I handed over mobile phone to moharrar. I did not record statement of moharrar regarding giving and taking to mobile phone to him. It is incorrect to suggest that all the alleged data was tempered during these two days. It is incorrect to suggest that I have deposed falsely.

XXXXX by Mr. Abdullah Sher, learned counsel for Muhammad Hamza Zakeer and Khurram Saleem accused.

I arrested Hamza Zakeer accused on 06.03.2023 from Faisal Movers. I do not remember the time. He was on foot. He was not having any hand bag, carry or any other bag with him. The accused was going towards Peshawar from Rawalpindi side. Huawei mobile was recovered from the accused. We members of raiding team were having

mobile phones with us. We did not capture video of the episode of arrest and alleged recovery of mobile phone from Hamza Zakeer accused. Two SIMs were in mobile phone of Hamza Zakeer accused. I did not collect ownership of mobile SIMs recovered from phone. No proof is available on the record to show that at the time of arrest he was having the said mobile except my oral claim. It is incorrect to suggest that the mobile phone was provided by the complainant party after uploading the blasphemous material. It is incorrect to suggest that I planted this mobile phone upon the accused. Many private persons gathered at the spot but I do not associate them. I did not count alleged blasphemous chats, pictures and videos at the time of arrest. I did not check whatsapp account and gallery of mobile phone of the accused at the time of arrest. I did not check / see blasphemous content in any other social media account in said mobile. I recorded first version of accused. It is incorrect to suggest that I did not record correct version of the accused. It is incorrect to suggest that his first version was being innocent. I have not mentioned names of porn websites in my statement. It is incorrect to suggest that I have deposed falsely.

I arrested Khurram Saleem accused from 9th Avenue near FIA Headquarter, Islamabad. No private person was present there. OPPO mobile phone was recovered from him. SIM was available in the mobile. I did not obtain CDR of said SIM number. I cannot tell in whose name this SIM was. No documentary proof is available on the record to show that the mobile phone was in ownership of accused at the time of his arrest. Volunteered I recovered the mobile phone from the accused and picture of the accused was on his ID. It is incorrect to suggest that volunteer portion of my statement is false. It is incorrect to suggest that false recovery has been planted upon the accused.

16.01.2025.

Recalled & reaffirmed.

PW-5 AKHTAR ALI, ASI (On Oath)

XXXXX by Ms. Nabila Irshad, learned counsel for Syed Abrar Hussain accused.

On 08.03.2023 we arrested Syed Abrar Hussain accused from Metro Bus Station Faizabad, Rawalpindi. We did not seek any permission from FIA Rawalpindi. It is incorrect to suggest that we had no authority to conduct raid at Rawalpindi. I cannot tell whether mobile was converted into sealed parcel. It is correct that in my statement before police that mobile phone was converted into sealed parcel. It is incorrect to suggest that we purchased the mobile phone from market and uploaded blasphemous material in the mobile. It is incorrect to suggest that we planted that mobile on the accused to involve him falsely in this case. It is incorrect to suggest that I have deposed falsely.

XXXXX by Mr. Manzoor Ahmad Rehmani, learned counsel for Muhammad Hamza Zakeer accused.

Opportunity given NIL.


XXXXX by Syed Nayyab Hussain Gerdazi, learned counsel for Muhammad Ali Abbas accused.

Opportunity given NIL.

XXXXX by Mr. Adil Khan, learned counsel for Khurram Saleem accused.

Opportunity given NIL.

RO&AC
16.01.2025


(Muhammad Afzal Majoka)
Additional Sessions Judge/
Presiding Officer,
Prevention of Electronic Crimes Court,
Islamabad-West